arXiv:1703.08592v1 [math.AP] 24 Mar 2017

MULTIPLICITY OF SOLUTIONS FOR A NONHOMOGENEOUS QUASILINEAR ELLIPTIC PROBLEM WITH CRITICAL GROWTH

M. L. M. CARVALHO, J. V. GONCALVES, C. GOULART, AND O. H. MIYAGAKI

Abstract. It is established some existence and multiplicity of solution results for a quasilinear elliptic problem driven by Φ-Laplacian operator. One of these solutions is built as a ground state solution. In order to prove our main results we apply the Nehari method combined with the concentration compactness theorem in an Orlicz-Sobolev framework. One of the difficulties in dealing with this kind of operator is the lost of homogeneity properties.

1. Introduction

In this work we will establish some existence and multiplicity results for the following quasilinear elliptic problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_\Phi u &= |u|^{\ell^*-2}u + f, & \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ u &= 0, & \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$ eq1

where Δ_Φ denotes the $\Phi-$laplacian operator, which is defined by $\Delta_\Phi u = \operatorname{div}(\phi(|\nabla u|)\nabla u)$, $\ell^* = \ell N/(N-\ell)$ $(1 < \ell < N)$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is bounded and smooth domain, $f \underset{\neq 0}{\geq} 0$, and in order to simplify the technicalities we assume $f \in L^{\frac{\ell N}{N(\ell-1)+\ell}}(\Omega) = L^{\frac{\ell^*}{\ell^*-1}}(\Omega) \equiv L^{\ell^{*\prime}}(\Omega)$. With respect to the function $\phi : (0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$, we assume that it is C^2 and satisfies the following conditions

- (ϕ_1): $\lim_{t\to 0} t\phi(t) = 0$, $\lim_{t\to\infty} t\phi(t) = \infty$;
- (ϕ_2): $t \mapsto t\phi(t)$ is strictly increasing;
- (ϕ_3): $-1 < \ell - 2 := \inf_{t>0} \dfrac{(t\phi(t))'' t}{(t\phi(t))'} \leq \sup_{t>0} \dfrac{(t\phi(t))'' t}{(t\phi(t))'} =: m - 2 < N - 2.$

Furthermore, we shall assume the following hypothesis

$$(H) \qquad 1 < \frac{\ell(\ell^* - m)}{\ell^* - \ell} \leq \ell \leq m < \ell^*.$$

Remark 1.1. *Notice that the above inequalities still hold when:*

1. $\Phi(t) = pt^{p-2}$ *with* $1 < p < \infty$ *and* $\ell = m = p$, *in this case* $\Delta_\Phi = \Delta_p$, *where* Δ_p *denotes the* $p-$*Laplacian operator.*
2. $\Phi(t) = pt^{p-2} + qt^{q-2}$ *with* $1 < p < q < \infty$, $\ell = p$ *and* $m = q$,*in this case* Δ_Φ *turns the* $\Delta_p + \Delta_q$ *operator. Here* $\Delta_p + \Delta_q$ *denotes the* $(p,q)-$ *laplacian operator. See* [22, 25]*) for this kind of operators.*

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J20, 35J25, 35J60, 35J92, 58E05.

Key words and phrases. Variational methods, Quasilinear Elliptic Problems, Nehari method, Sign-changing solutions.

O. H. Miyagaki is corresponding author and he received research grants from CNPq/Brazil 304015/2014-8 and INCTMAT/CNPQ/Brazil.

(3) *Other examples, for instance involving anisotropic elliptic problems, can be seen in* [8] *and references therein.*

The main difficulty in dealing with this kind of operator is because it is inhomogeneous, which requires some aditional effort to overcome the estimates. As is mentioned in [24] the problem has many physical applications, for instance, in nonlinear elasticity, plasticity, generalized Newtonian fluids, etc. We refer the reader to the following related papers [2, 15, 16, 17, 21, 24] and in references therein, where there have handled handled different types of nonlinearities involving this kind of operator. Problems like above was started in a beautiful work due to Brézis and Nirenberg[3], when $\Delta_\Phi = \Delta$, where they treated a nonhomogeneous problem with critical growth obtaining existence result, assuming that $f \underset{\neq 0}{\geq} 0$, together with some aditional conditions. Then Tarantello [26] treated the same problem getting existence and multiplicity results under a stronger hypothesis that made in [3]. These works were extended in [20],which was obtained four weak solutions, at least one of them is sign changing solution. On the other hand, in [12] is proved some multiplicity results for symmetric domain by using the category theory. There are only few works involving $p-$ Laplacian, that is, when $\Delta_\Phi = \Delta_p$, extending results in [26]. We would like to mention [7, 11] and references therein.

Due to the nature of the operator Δ_Φ we shall work in the framework of Orlicz-Sobolev spaces $W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)$. Throughout this paper we define

$$\Phi(t) = \int_0^t s\phi(s)ds, t \geq 0,$$

which is extended as even function, $\Phi(t) = \Phi(-t)$, for all $t < 0$.

Recall that hypotheses $(\phi_1) - (\phi_2)$ allow us to use the Orlicz and Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, while the hypothesis (ϕ_3) ensures that the Orlicz-Sobolev spaces are Banach reflexive spaces. There are several publications on Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, we would like to recommend the reader to [1, 13, 16, 19, 23, 24]. However, for the sake of completeness, we recall some definitions and properties in the Appendix.

From the continuous embedding $W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\ell^*}(\Omega)$,(see [1, 13]), we define

$$S = \inf \left\{ \frac{||u||^\ell}{||u||_{\ell^*}^\ell}, \quad u \in W^{1,\phi}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \right\}. \tag{1.2}$$ melhor

Since our approach is variational method, the functional $J : W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$ associated with our problem is given by

$$J(u) = \int_\Omega \Phi(|\nabla u|) - \frac{1}{\ell^*}\int_\Omega |u|^{\ell^*} - \int_\Omega fu, \quad u \in W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega),$$

is well-defined and of class C^1. The Euler-Lagrange equations for J are precisely the weak solutions for problem (1.1). Hence finding weak solutions for the problem (1.1) is equivalent to find critical points for the functional J. Here we emphasize that J is in C^1 class due the hypotheses built on the function ϕ. This is the main reason in order to consider the hypothesis (ϕ_3) that is crucial in our arguments. The Gateaux derivative for J possesses the following form

$$\langle J'(u), v\rangle = \int_\Omega \phi(|\nabla u|)\nabla u\nabla v - \int_\Omega |u|^{\ell^*-2}uv - \int_\Omega fv$$

for any $u, v \in W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)$. In general, using hypotheses $(\phi_1)-(\phi_3)$, the functional J is not in C^2 class.

In order to perfom our precise hypotheses for our results, we will consider the functions $g_\alpha : [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R},\ \alpha \in \{\ell, m\}$ defined by

$$g_\alpha(t) := g_{\alpha,u}(t) = t^{\alpha-1}\int_\Omega \phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2 - t^{\ell^*-1}|u|^{\ell^*},\quad t>0. \tag{1.3}$$ galpha

It is easy to see that there exists $\overline{t}_\alpha > 0$ such that

$$g_\alpha(\overline{t}_\alpha) = \max_{t>0} g_\alpha(t).$$

Inspired by [27], given $u \in W_0^{1,\phi}(\Omega)$, with $||u||_{\ell^*} = 1$, we assume the following assumptions on f.

(f_1)**:** Suppose either $\overline{t}_\ell, \overline{t}_m \geq 1$ or $\overline{t}_\ell, \overline{t}_m \leq 1$. Then

$$||f||_{(\ell^*)'} \leq \lambda_1 := \min\left\{ S^{\frac{\alpha(\ell^*-1)}{\ell(\ell^*-\alpha)}}\left[\frac{\ell(\ell-1)}{\ell^*-1}\right]^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\ell^*-\alpha}}\left[\frac{\ell(\ell^*-m)}{\ell^*-1}\right] :\ \alpha = \ell, m\right\};$$

(f_2)**:** If $\ell < m$ and $\overline{t}_\ell \leq 1 \leq \overline{t}_m$ hold, we suppose

$$||f||_{(\ell^*)'} \leq \min\left\{\lambda_1, \frac{\ell^*-m}{m-1}\right\}.\text{(See Lemma 2.7)}$$

We have a second solution to the problem (1.1) considering a more restrictive condition given by:

$(f_2)'$**:** If $\ell < m$ and $\overline{t}_\ell \leq 1 \leq \overline{t}_m$ hold, we assume

$$||f||_{(\ell^*)'} \leq \min\left\{\frac{1}{m}\lambda_1, \frac{\ell^*-m}{m-1}\right\}.\text{(See Lemma 2.7)}$$

Our first main result can be read as follows

teorem1 **Theorem 1.1.** *In addition to* $(\phi_1)-(\phi_3)$ *and* (H)*, suppose* $f \gneq 0$*, and* $f \in L^{\ell^*}{}'(\Omega)$*. Assume either* (f_1) *or* (f_2) *holds. Then there exists* $\Lambda_1 > 0$ *such that problem* (1.1) *admits at least one positive ground state solution* u^+ *satisfying* $J(u^+) \leq 0$ *for any* f *such that* $0 < ||f||_{(\ell^*)'} < \Lambda_1$*.*

Now we shall consider the following result

teorema2 **Theorem 1.2.** *Suppose* $(\phi_1)-(\phi_3)$ *and* (H)*. Assume* $f \gneq 0$*, and* $f \in L^{\ell^*}{}'(\Omega)$*, and either* (f_1) *or* $(f_2)'$ *holds. Then there exists* $\Lambda_2 > 0$ *in such way that problem* (1.1) *admits at least one positive solution* u^- *satisfying* $J(u^-) > 0$ *for any* f *verifying* $0 < ||f||_{(\ell^*)'} < \Lambda_2$*.*

Putting together the all results established just above and using a regularity result for quasilinear elliptic problems we can state the following multiplicity result.

teorema3 **Theorem 1.3.** *In addition to* $(\phi_1)-(\phi_3)$ *and* (H)*, suppose* $f \gneq 0$*, and* $f \in L^{\ell^*}{}'(\Omega)$*. Assume either* (f_1) *or* $(f_2)'$ *holds. Then problem* (1.1) *admits at least two positive* u^+, u^- *which belong to* $C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ *whenever* $0 < ||f||_{(\ell^*)'} < \Lambda = \min\{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2\}$*. Furthermore, the function* u^+ *is a ground state solution for each* f *satisfying* $0 < ||f||_{(\ell^*)'} < \Lambda$*.*

Remark 1.2. *We point out that concerning just existence of solution, f can change sign, see Lemma 2.6. However in such case the solution could change sign, as well.*

2. Preliminary results

In this section we give some basic results involving the Nehari manifold method, including the fibering maps associated with the functional J, which will give information on the critical points of Euler-Lagrange functional J. We suggest the reader to the book due to Willem [28], for an overview on the Nehari method. The proofs of our results follow closely the arguments used in [9, 10].

The Nehari manifold associated with the functional J is given by

$$\mathcal{N} \quad = \quad \{u \in W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} : \langle J'(u), u\rangle = 0\} \tag{2.4}$$ nehari

It will be proved later on that $\mathcal{N}$ is a $\mathcal{C}^1$-submanifold of $W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)$.

Initially, note that if $u \in \mathcal{N}$, by (2.4), we have that

$$J(u) = \int_\Omega \Phi(|\nabla u|) - \phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\ell^*}\right)|u|^{\ell^*}, \tag{2.5}$$ eq2

or equivalently

$$J(u) = \int_\Omega \Phi(|\nabla u|) - \frac{1}{\ell^*}\phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\ell^*}\right) fu. \tag{2.6}$$ eq3

First of all we shall prove some geometric properties of functional J, which allows us to find a critical point for J.

coercive **Proposition 2.1.** *The functional J is coercive and bounded from below on $\mathcal{N}$.*

Proof. In virtue of (ϕ_3), we have $m\Phi(t) \geq t^2\phi(t)$ for each $t \geq 0$. Using this fact and (2.6), we obtain

$$J(u) \geq \left(\frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{\ell^*}\right)\int_\Omega \phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2 + \left(\frac{1}{\ell^*} - 1\right)\int_\Omega fu.$$

Now by combining

$$\min\{||u||^\ell, ||u||^m\} \leq \int_\Omega \Phi(|\nabla u|) \leq \frac{1}{\ell}\int_\Omega \phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2$$

with the Hölder inequality and the continuous embedding $W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L_{\Phi_*}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\ell^*}(\Omega)$, we obtain

$$J(u) \geq \ell\left(\frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{\ell^*}\right)\min\{||u||^\ell, ||u||^m\} + S^{\frac{-1}{\ell}}\left(\frac{1}{\ell^*} - 1\right)||f||_{(\ell^*)'}||u||, \tag{2.7}$$ functional1

where S is given by (1.2). Thus, J is coercive and bounded from below on $\mathcal{N}$. The proposition is proved. □

Now, define the fibering map $\gamma_u : (0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\gamma_u(t) := J(tu) = \int_\Omega \Phi(t|\nabla u|) - \frac{t^{\ell^*}}{\ell^*}|u|^{\ell^*} - tfu.$$

From $(\phi_1) - (\phi_2)$ it follows that γ_u is of C^1, and its Gateaux derivative is given by

$$\gamma_u'(t) = t\int_\Omega \phi(t|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2 - t^{\ell^*-1}|u|^{\ell^*} - fu. \tag{2.8}$$ priderivada

The main feature of the fibering map is the knowledge of the geometry of γ_u, which will give information about the existence and multiplicity of solutions. This method was introduced in [14], then it was also employed, for instance, in [4, 5, 6, 26, 27, 29, 30] and references therein.

Remark 2.1. *Notice that $tu \in \mathcal{N}$ if, and only if, $\gamma_u'(t) = 0$. Therefore, $u \in \mathcal{N}$ if, and only if, $\gamma_u'(1) = 0$. Thus, the stationary points of fibering map are the critical points of J on $\mathcal{N}$.*

Define $\psi(u) = \langle J'(u), u\rangle$, $u \in W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)$. Then, for all $u \in W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)$, we have

$$\langle \psi'(u), u\rangle = \int_\Omega \phi'(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^3 + 2\phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2 - \ell^*|u|^{\ell^*} - fu. \tag{2.9}$$ psi

As was made in Tarantello in [26, 27], let us split $\mathcal{N}$ into three sets, namely,

$$\mathcal{N}^+ := \{u \in \mathcal{N} : \langle \psi'(u), u\rangle > 0\};$$
$$\mathcal{N}^- := \{u \in \mathcal{N} : \langle \psi'(u), u\rangle < 0\};$$
$$\mathcal{N}^0 := \{u \in \mathcal{N} : \langle \psi'(u), u\rangle = 0\},$$

which correspond to the critical points of minimum, maximum and inflexions points, respectively.

gamma''

Remark 2.2. *For $u \in \mathcal{N}$, by (2.5) and (2.6), we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \psi'(u), u\rangle &= \int_\Omega [\phi'(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^3 + \phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2] - (\ell^* - 1)|u|^{\ell^*} \\ &= \int_\Omega [\phi'(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^3 + (2-\ell^*)\phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2] - (1-\ell^*)fu.\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$ eq5

The next result is the crucial step in our argument to prove the main result.

c1

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose either (f_1) or (f_2), and (ϕ_1)-(ϕ_3) hold. Then,*

(1) $\mathcal{N}^0 = \emptyset$.
(2) $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}^+ \dot\cup \mathcal{N}^-$ *is a C^1-manifold.*

Proof. **Proof of item (1).** Assume by contradiction that $\mathcal{N}^0 \neq \emptyset$. Fix $u \in \mathcal{N}^0$. Then, $\gamma_u'(1) = \langle \psi'(u), u\rangle = 0$. From (2.4) and (2.10), we obtain,

$$0 = \langle \psi'(u), u\rangle = \int_\Omega \phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2 + \phi'(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^3 + (1-\ell^*)|u|^{\ell^*}.$$

By hypothesis (ϕ_3) we infer that

$$(\ell - 1)\int_\Omega \phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2 \le (\ell^* - 1)||u||_{\ell^*}^{\ell^*} \le (\ell^* - 1)S^{-\frac{\ell^*}{\ell}}||u||^{\ell^*},$$

where S is the best constant of the embedding $W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\ell^*}(\Omega)$. On the other hand,

$$(\ell - 1)\int_\Omega \phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2 \ge \ell(\ell-1)\int_\Omega \Phi(|\nabla u|) \ge \ell(\ell-1)\min\{||u||^\ell, ||u||^m\}.$$

Comparing the above two expressions, we conclude that

$$||u|| \ge \left[\frac{\ell(\ell-1)S^{\frac{\ell^*}{\ell}}}{(\ell^* - 1)}\right]^{\frac{1}{\ell^* - \alpha}}. \tag{2.11}$$ des1

Now, using (2.10), we get

$$0 = \langle \psi'(u), u\rangle = \int_\Omega (2-\ell^*)\phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2 + \phi'(|\nabla u|)||\nabla u|^3 + (\ell^*-1)fu.$$

From (ϕ_3), we obtain

$$(\ell^* - m)\int_\Omega \phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2 \le (\ell^*-1)\int_\Omega fu$$

Arguing as above, we get

$$\ell(\ell^*-m)\min\{||u||^\ell, ||u||^m\} \le (\ell^*-1)\int_\Omega fu.$$

Therefore, from the Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\min\{||u||^\ell, ||u||^m\} \le \frac{(\ell^*-1)}{\ell(\ell^*-m)}\int_\Omega fu \le \frac{S^{-\frac{1}{\ell}}(\ell^*-1)}{\ell(\ell^*-m)}||f||_{(\ell^*)'}||u||. \tag{2.12}$$ des2

Comparing (2.11) and (2.12), we get

$$||f||_{(\ell^*)'} \ge S^{\frac{\alpha(\ell^*-1)}{\ell(\ell^*-\alpha)}}\left[\frac{\ell(\ell-1)}{\ell^*-1}\right]^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\ell^*-\alpha}}\left[\frac{\ell(\ell^*-m)}{\ell^*-1}\right] \ge \lambda_1,\ \alpha = \ell, m, \tag{2.13}$$ lambda1

which is a contradiction if we assume either (f_1) or (f_2).

Proof of item (2). Suppose without loss of generality that, $u \in \mathcal{N}^+$.

Define $G(u) := \langle J'(u), u\rangle$. We can see that

$$G'(u) = \langle J''(u)\cdot(u,u)\rangle + \langle J'(u), u\rangle = \langle \psi'(u), u\rangle > 0, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{N}^+.$$

Furthermore, using (2.4), we also have that $\langle J'(u), u\rangle = 0$. Hence, $0 \in \mathbb{R}$ is a regular value for G and $\mathcal{N}^+ = G^{-1}(0)$. That is, $\mathcal{N}^+$ is a C^1-manifold. Similarly , we may show that $\mathcal{N}^-$ is a C^1-manifold. Hence, since we are supposing (f_1) and (f_2), the proof of item (2) follows in virtue of $\mathcal{N}^0 = \emptyset$.

□

Next we are going to prove that any critical point for J on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$ is a free critical point, i.e, is a critical point in the whole space $W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)$. Actually, the proof of the Lemma below is fairly standard and we include it for the sake of completeness.

criticalpoint **Lemma 2.2.** *Let u_0 be a local minimum (or local maximum) of J. If $u_0 \notin \mathcal{N}^0$, then u_0 is a critical point of J..*

Proof. Suppose without any loss of generality that u_0 is a local minimum of J. Define the function

$$\theta(u) = \langle J'(u), u\rangle = \int_\Omega \phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2 - |u|^{\ell^*} - fu.$$

Then u_0 is a solution for the minimization problem

$$\min\{J(u),\quad \theta(u) = 0\}. \tag{2.14}$$ lagrange

Proceeding as in Carvalho et al. [10], we have

$$\langle \theta'(u), v\rangle = \int_\Omega \phi'(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|\nabla u\nabla v + 2\phi(|\nabla u|)\nabla u\nabla v - fv - \ell^*|u|^{\ell^*-2}uv$$

holds true for all $u, v \in W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)$. Making $u = v = u_0$, since $u_0 \in \mathcal{N}^+$, by (2.4) and (2.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \theta'(u_0), u_0\rangle &= \int_\Omega \phi'(|\nabla u_0|)|\nabla u_0|^3 + \phi(|\nabla u_0|)|\nabla u_0|^2 \\ &- (\ell^* - 1)\int_\Omega |u_0|^{\ell^*} = \langle \psi'(u_0), u_0\rangle .\end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.1, the problem (2.14) has a solution verifying

$$\langle J'(u_0), u_0\rangle = \mu \langle \theta'(u_0), u_0\rangle = 0,$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ which is given by Lagrange multipliers Theorem. Notice that $\langle \theta'(u_0), u_0\rangle \neq 0$, then $\mu = 0$, i.e, u_0 is a critical point for J on $W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)$. The proof of lemma is complete. □

Now we give a complete description on the geometry for the fibering map associated with problem (1.1), where we will foccus on the sign of $\int_\Omega fu$.

Consider the auxiliary function

$$m_u(t) = \int_\Omega t\phi(t|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2 - t^{\ell^*-1}|u|^{\ell^*},$$

where the points $tu \in \mathcal{N}$ will compared with the function m_u.

m_uegamma_u **Lemma 2.3.** *Let $t > 0$ be fixed. Then $tu \in \mathcal{N}$ if, and only if, t is a solution of $m_u(t) = \int_\Omega fu$.*

Proof. Fix $t > 0$ in such may that $tu \in \mathcal{N}$. Then

$$t\int_\Omega \phi(|\nabla tu|)|\nabla u|^2 - t^{\ell^*-1}\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^{\ell^*} = \int_\Omega fu.$$

From the definition of m_u, the proof of the result follows. □

The next lemma will give a precise information on the function m_u and the fibering map.

m_u-comp **Lemma 2.4.** *There exists an unique critical point for m_u, i.e, there is an unique point $\tilde{t} > 0$ in such way that $m_u'(\tilde{t}) = 0$. Furthermore, we know that $\tilde{t} > 0$ is a global maximum point for m_u and $m_u(\infty) = -\infty$.*

Proof. Notice that

$$m_u'(t) = \int_\Omega \phi(t|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2 + t\int_\Omega \phi'(|\nabla(tu)|)|\nabla u|^3 - (\ell^* - 1)t^{\ell^*-2}|u|^{\ell^*}.$$

Taking into account (ϕ_3) it is easy to verify that

$$\ell - 2 \leq \frac{\phi'(t)t}{\phi(t)} \leq m - 2, \text{ for any } t \geq 0. \tag{2.15}$$ ee2

Firstly, we prove that m_u is increasing for $t > 0$ small enough and $\lim_{t\to\infty} m_u(t) = -\infty$. For $0 < t < 1$, using (2.15) we get

$$m_u'(t) \geq (\ell - 1)t^{m-2}\int_\Omega \phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2 - (\ell^* - 1)t^{\ell^*-2}|u|^{\ell^*}$$

Since $m < \ell^*$ we mention that $m_u'(t) > 0$ for any $t > 0$ small enough. Arguing as above we obtain

$$m_u(t) \leq t^{m-1} \int_\Omega \phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2 - t^{\ell^*-1}|u|^{\ell^*}.$$

Therefore, since $m < \ell^*$, we infer that $\lim_{t\to\infty} m_u(t) = -\infty$.

Next, we will show that m_u has an unique critical point $\tilde{t} > 0$. Observe that $m_u'(t) = 0$ if, and only if,

$$t^{2-\ell^*} \int_\Omega \phi(t|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2 + t^{3-\ell^*} \int_\Omega \phi'(|\nabla(tu)|)|\nabla u|^3 = (\ell^* - 1) \int_\Omega |u|^{\ell^*}.$$

Define the auxiliary function $\eta_u : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\eta_u(t) = t^{2-\ell^*} \int_\Omega \phi(t|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2 + t^{3-\ell^*} \int_\Omega \phi'(|\nabla(tu)|)|\nabla u|^3.$$

Using the inequality below

$$\eta_u(t) \geq (\ell - 1)t^{m-\ell^*} \int_\Omega \phi(|\nabla(u)|)|\nabla u|^2,$$

it is easy to see that

$$\lim_{t\to 0^+} \eta_u(t) = +\infty. \tag{2.16}$$ ee3

On the other hand, from Proposition 5.2, for any $t > 1$, we have

$$\eta_u(t) \leq (m - 1)t^{m-\ell^*} \int_\Omega \phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2. \tag{2.17}$$ ee4

and

$$\eta_u(t) \geq (\ell - 1)t^{\ell-\ell^*} \int_\Omega \phi(|\nabla(u)|)|\nabla u|^2. \tag{2.18}$$ ee5

Hence (2.17) and (2.18) say that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \eta_u(t) = 0. \tag{2.19}$$ ee33

holds true.

Moreover, we have also that

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_u'(t) &= \int_\Omega [(2 - \ell^*)t^{1-\ell^*}\phi(t|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2 + (4 - \ell^*)t^{2-\ell^*}\phi'(t|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^3] + \\ &+ t^{3-\ell^*} \int_\Omega \phi''(t|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^4. \end{aligned}$$

Using hypothesis (ϕ_3) we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} t^2\phi''(t) & \leq & (m-4)t\phi'(t) + (m-2)\phi(t), \\ t^2\phi''(t) & \geq & (\ell-4)t\phi'(t) + (\ell-2)\phi(t). \end{array} \right. ,$$

which imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_u'(t) &\leq \int_\Omega (m - \ell^*)t^{1-\ell^*}\phi(t|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2 + \int_\Omega (m - \ell^*)t^{2-\ell^*}\phi'(t|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^3 \\ &\leq (m - \ell^*)(\ell - 1)t^{1-\ell^*} \int_\Omega \phi(t|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2 < 0. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of this lemma is now complete. □

Next we will estimate $\max_{t>0} m_u(t)$. To do this, consider $g_\alpha,\ \alpha = \ell, m$, defined in (1.3). As in the proof of the previous Lemma, there exists $\overline{t}_\alpha > 0$, given by

$$\overline{t}_\alpha = \left[\frac{(\alpha-1)\displaystyle\int_\Omega \phi(|\nabla u|)||\nabla u|^2}{(\ell^*-1)\displaystyle\int_\Omega |u|^{\ell^*}} \right]^{\frac{1}{\ell^*-\alpha}} > 0 \tag{2.20}$$

tmax

such that $g_\alpha(\overline{t}_\alpha) = \max_{t>0} g_\alpha(t)$.

Remark 2.3. *Notice that $g_m(t) = g_\ell(t) = m_u(t)$ if, only if, $t = 1$.*

mapf1f2

Lemma 2.5. *Suppose either (f_1) or (f_2). Then*

$$\max_{t>0} m_u(t) \geq \int_\Omega fu,\ u \in W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega). \tag{2.21}$$

Proof. If $\displaystyle\int_\Omega fudx \leq 0$, since $\max_{t>0} m_u(t) > 0$, the inequality (2.21) is trivially satisfied. Thus, we treat the case $\displaystyle\int_\Omega fudx > 0$. Without loss of generality, take $\|u\|_{\ell^*} = 1$ and denote by $A = \displaystyle\int_\Omega \phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2 dx$.

We will consider three possibilities, namely:

(i) : $\overline{t}_\ell,\ \overline{t}_m \geq 1$. Since $\overline{t}_\ell \geq 1$, we obtain

$$\ell^* - 1 \leq (\ell-1)A. \tag{2.22}$$

A1

So that,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq \frac{\ell-1}{\ell^*-1}A \leq m\frac{\ell-1}{\ell^*-1}\max\{||u||^\ell, ||u||^m\} \\ &= \max\left\{ \left\| \left(m\frac{\ell-1}{\ell^*-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{\ell}} u \right\|^\ell, \left\| \left(m\frac{\ell-1}{\ell^*-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} u \right\|^m \right\} = m\frac{\ell-1}{\ell^*-1}\|u\|^m. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using Proposition 5.1 and inequality

$$\left(m\frac{\ell-1}{\ell^*-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} ||u|| \geq 1,\ \alpha = \ell, m,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} A &\geq \ell\min\{||u||^\ell, ||u||^m\} \\ &= \frac{\ell(\ell^*-1)}{m(\ell-1)}\min\left\{ \left\| \left(m\frac{\ell-1}{\ell^*-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{\ell}} u \right\|^\ell, \left\| \left(m\frac{\ell-1}{\ell^*-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} u \right\|^m \right\} = \ell||u||^\ell \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}\max_{t>0} m_u(t) &\geq \max g_\ell(t) = g_\ell(\overline{t}_\ell)\\ &\geq ||u||||u||^{\frac{\ell^*(\ell-1)}{\ell^*-\ell}}\left(\frac{\ell(\ell-1)}{\ell^*-1}\right)^{\frac{\ell-1}{\ell^*-\ell}}\left(\frac{\ell(\ell^*-\ell)}{\ell^*-1}\right)\\ &\geq S^{\frac{(\ell^*-1)\ell}{\ell(\ell^*-\ell)}}\left(\frac{\ell(\ell-1)}{\ell^*-1}\right)^{\frac{\ell-1}{\ell^*-\ell}}\left(\frac{\ell(\ell^*-m)}{\ell^*-1}\right)\frac{1}{||f||_{(\ell^*)'}}\int_\Omega fu\\ &\overset{(f_1)}{\geq} \int_\Omega fu.\end{aligned}$$

(ii) : If $\ell < m$ and $\overline{t}_\ell \leq 1 \leq \overline{t}_m$, then

$$\frac{\ell^*-1}{\ell-1} \geq A \geq \frac{\ell^*-1}{m-1} > 1. \tag{2.23}$$ B1-1

Therefore, it follows from (2.23) that

$$\begin{aligned}\max_{t>0} m_u(t) &\geq m_u(1) \geq \frac{\ell^*-m}{m-1} = \frac{\ell^*-m}{m-1}\|u\|_{\ell^*}\\ &\geq \frac{\ell^*-m}{m-1}\frac{1}{\|f\|_{(\ell^*)'}}\int_\Omega fu \overset{(f_2)}{\geq} \int_\Omega fu. \end{aligned}\tag{2.24}$$

(iii) : If $\overline{t}_\ell,\ \overline{t}_m \leq 1$, then

$$(m-1)A \leq \ell^* - 1.$$

As in item (ii) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\max_{t>0} m_u(t) &\geq \max g_m(t) = g_m(\overline{t}_m)\\ &\geq S^{\frac{m(\ell^*-1)}{\ell(\ell^*-m)}}\left(\frac{\ell(m-1)}{\ell^*-1}\right)^{\frac{m-1}{\ell^*-m}}\left(\frac{\ell(\ell^*-m)}{\ell^*-1}\right)\frac{1}{||f||_{(\ell^*)'}}\int_\Omega fu\\ &\overset{(f_1)}{\geq} \int_\Omega fu.\end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of lemma. □

fib **Lemma 2.6.** *Let $u \in W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)/\{0\}$ be a fixed function. Then we shall consider the following assertions:*

1. *there exists an unique $t_1 = t_1(u) > \tilde{t}$ such that $\gamma_u'(t_1) = 0$ and $t_1 u \in \mathcal{N}^-$ whenever $\int_\Omega fu \leq 0$,*
2. *suppose either (f_1) or (f_2). Then, if $\int_\Omega fu > 0$, there exists unique $0 < t_1 = t_1(u) < \tilde{t} < t_2 = t_2(u)$ such that $\gamma_u'(t_1) = \gamma_u'(t_2) = 0$, $t_1 u \in \mathcal{N}^+$ and $t_2 u \in \mathcal{N}^-$.*

Proof. First of all, notice that arguing as in [5], it is easy to see that if $tu \in \mathcal{N}$, then

$$\langle \psi'(tu), tu\rangle = t^2 m_u'(t). \tag{2.25}$$ psi'

The case $\int_\Omega fu \leq 0$. Notice that the function m_u admits an unique turning point $\tilde{t} > 0$, i.e, we get $m_u'(t) = 0, t > 0$ if, only if, $t = \tilde{t}$, see Lemma 2.4. Moreover, $\tilde{t}$ is a

global maximum point for m_u such that $m_u(\tilde{t}) > 0, m_u(\infty) = -\infty$. As a byproduct there exits an unique $t_1 > \tilde{t}$ such that

$$m_u(t_1) = \int_\Omega fu.$$

We emphasize that $m_u'(t_1) < 0$, because m_u is a decreasing function in $(\tilde{t}, \infty)$. Therefore, using Lemma 2.3, we have $t_1 u \in \mathcal{N}$, proving that $\gamma_u'(t_1) = 0$. Additionally, by the identity (2.25)

$$m_u(t) = \gamma_u'(t) + \int_\Omega fu,$$

we get $0 > t^2 m_u'(t_1) = \langle \psi(t_1 u), t_1 u \rangle$, proving that $t_1 u \in \mathcal{N}^-$.

The case $\int_\Omega fu > 0$. We can consider Lemma 2.5 and we get

$$m_u(\tilde{t}) > \int_\Omega fu,$$

which m_u is increasing in $(0, \tilde{t})$ and decreasing in $(\tilde{t}, \infty)$. It is not hard to verify that there exist exactly two points $0 < t_1 = t_1(u) < \tilde{t} < t_2 = t_2(u)$ such that

$$m_u(t_i) = \int_\Omega fu, \ i = 1, 2,$$

satisfying $m_u'(t_1) > 0$ and $m_u'(t_2) < 0$. As in the previous step we infer that $t_1 u \in \mathcal{N}^+$ and $t_2 u \in \mathcal{N}^-$. This completes the proof. □

nehari-

Lemma 2.7. *Suppose either (f_1) or $(f_2)'$. There exist $\delta_1, \lambda_2 > 0$ in such way that $J(u) \geq \delta_1$ for any $u \in \mathcal{N}^-$ where $0 < \|f\|_{(\ell^*)'} < \lambda_2$.*

Proof. Since $u \in \mathcal{N}^-$, we have that $\langle \psi'(u), u \rangle < 0$. Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$||u|| > \left[\frac{\ell(\ell-1) S^{\frac{\ell^*}{\ell}}}{(\ell^* - 1)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\ell^* - \alpha}}.$$

Moreover, in view of (2.7) and the Sobolev imbedding, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} J(u) &\geq \ell \left(\frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{\ell^*} \right) \min\{||u||^\ell, ||u||^m\} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\ell^*} \right) \int_\Omega fu \\ &\geq ||u|| \left[\ell \left(\frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{\ell^*} \right) \min\{||u||^{\ell-1}, ||u||^{m-1}\} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\ell^*} \right) S^{-\frac{1}{\ell}} ||f||_{(\ell^*)'} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By the above inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} J(u) &> \left[\frac{\ell(\ell-1) S^{\frac{\ell^*}{\ell}}}{(\ell^* - 1)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\ell^* - \alpha}} \left[\ell \left(\frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{\ell^*} \right) \left[\frac{\ell(\ell-1) S^{\frac{\ell^*}{\ell}}}{(\ell^* - 1)} \right]^{\frac{\alpha - 1}{\ell^* - \alpha}} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\ell^*} \right) S^{-\frac{1}{\ell}} ||f||_{(\ell^*)'} \right] \\ &= \left[\frac{\ell(\ell-1) S^{\frac{\ell^*}{\ell}}}{(\ell^* - 1)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\ell^* - \alpha}} \left[A - ||f||_{(\ell^*)'} B \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $A - ||f||_{(\ell^*)'} B > 0$ if, only if, $||f||_{(\ell^*)'} < \dfrac{A}{B} = \dfrac{1}{m} \lambda_1 =: \lambda_2$, where λ_1 is given by (f_1). On the other hand, if (f_2) holds, we have

$$||f||_{(\ell^*)'} \leq \min \left\{ \frac{1}{m} \lambda_1, \frac{\ell^* - m}{m - 1} \right\} \leq \frac{1}{m} \lambda_1.$$

Hence, in either case (f_1) ou $(f_2)'$, we conclude that $J(u) \geq \delta_1$, for all $u \in \mathcal{N}^-$.

□

nehari+ **Lemma 2.8.** *Suppose (H) and either (f_1) or $(f_2)'$. Then, $\alpha := \inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}} J(u) = \alpha^+ = \inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}^+} J(u) < 0$.*

Proof. Since $u \in \mathcal{N}^+$ we have that $\langle \psi'(u), u\rangle > 0$, i.e.

$$\int_\Omega \phi'(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^3 + \phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2 - (\ell^* - 1)|u|^{\ell^*} > 0.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}(\ell^* - 1)\int_\Omega |u|^{\ell^*} &< \int_\Omega \phi'(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^3 + \phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2 \\ &< (m-1)\int_\Omega \phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\int_\Omega |u|^{\ell^*} < \frac{m-1}{\ell^* - 1}\int_\Omega \phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2$$

On the other hand, if $u \in \mathcal{N}$, using the above inequality and (ϕ_3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}J(u) &\leq \left(\frac{1}{\ell} - 1\right)\int_\Omega \phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\ell^*}\right)|u|^{\ell^*} \\ &< \left[\frac{1-\ell}{\ell} + \frac{m-1}{\ell^*}\right]\int_\Omega \phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2 < 0,\end{aligned}$$

because $\left[\frac{1-\ell}{\ell} + \frac{m-1}{\ell^*}\right] < 0$, since (H) holds. Consequently, $\alpha^+ < 0$.

Since $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}^- \cup \mathcal{N}^+$ and $\alpha^- > 0$, we have that $\alpha^+ = \alpha$, and the Lemma is proved.

□

3. The (PS) condition

Here we follow same ideas discussed in Tarantello [26], in order to prove some auxiliary results to get the Palais-Smale conditon for the functional J constrained to the Nehari manifold.

lem1ps **Lemma 3.1.** *Suppose $(\phi_1) - (\phi_3)$ and (H). Let $u \in \mathcal{N}^+$ be fixed. Then there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and a differentiable function*

$$\xi : B(0,\epsilon) \subset W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega) \to (0,\infty), \quad \xi(0) = 1, \ \ \xi(v)(u-v) \in \mathcal{N}^+, v \in B(0,\epsilon).$$

Furthermore, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \xi'(0), v\rangle &= \frac{1}{\langle \psi'(u), u\rangle}\int_\Omega \Big\{[\phi'(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u| + 2\phi(|\nabla u|)]\nabla u\nabla v - \ell^*|u|^{\ell^*-2}uv \\ &- fv\Big\}. \qquad (3.26)\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Initially, we define $\psi : W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)\backslash\{0\} \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $\psi(u) = \langle J'(u), u\rangle$ for $u \in W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)\backslash\{0\}$. Recall that $\langle \psi'(u), u\rangle$ is given by (2.9), and for any $u \in \mathcal{N}$, $\langle \psi'(u), u\rangle$ was defined in Remark 2.2.

Now we define $F_u : \mathbb{R} \times W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)\backslash\{0\} \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $F_u : \mathbb{R} \times W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)\backslash\{0\} \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$F_u(\xi, w) = \langle J'(\xi(u-w)), \xi(u-w)\rangle.$$

Here we observe that $F_u(1,0)=\psi(u)$. As a consequence, for each $u\in\mathcal{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_1 F_u(1,0) &= \int_\Omega 2\phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2+\phi'(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^3\\ &- \int_\Omega \ell^*|u|^{\ell^*}-fu=\langle\psi'(u),u\rangle\neq 0.\end{aligned}$$

By using the Inverse Function Theorem, there exist $\epsilon>0$ and a differentiable function $\xi: B(0,\epsilon)\subset W^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)\to(0,\infty)$ satisfying $\xi(0)=1$ and $F_u(\xi(w),w)=\langle J'(\xi(w)(u-w)),\xi(w)(u-w)\rangle=0$, i.e. $\xi(w)(u-w)\in\mathcal{N}$, $\forall w\in B(0,\epsilon)$. Furthermore, we also have

$$\langle\xi'(w),v\rangle=-\frac{\langle\partial_2F_u(\xi(w),w),v\rangle}{\partial_1F_u(\xi(w),w)},\langle\xi'(0),v\rangle=-\frac{\langle\partial_2F_u(\xi(0),0),v)\rangle}{\partial_1F_u(\xi(0),0)}.$$

Here ∂_1F_u and ∂_2F_u denote the partial derivatives on the first and second variable, respectively.

On the other hand, after some manipulations, putting $w=0$ and $\xi=\xi(0)=1$, we have

$$-\langle\partial_2F_u(1,0),v\rangle = \int_\Omega\left(\phi'(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|+2\phi(|\nabla u|)\right)\nabla u\nabla v-\ell^*|u|^{\ell^*-2}uv-fv$$

Here was used the fact that $\partial_1F_u(1,0)=\langle\psi'(u),u\rangle$ holds for any $u\in\mathcal{N}$. The proof is complete. □

Similarly, we have the following

lem2ps **Lemma 3.2.** *Suppose $(\phi_1)-(\phi_3)$ and (H). Let $u\in\mathcal{N}^-$ be fixed. Then there are $\epsilon>0$ and a differentiable function*

$$\xi^-: B(0,\epsilon)\subset W^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)\to(0,\infty),\quad \xi^-(0)=1,\ \xi^-(v)(u-v)\in\mathcal{N}^-,\ v\in B(0,\epsilon).$$

Furthermore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle(\xi^-)'(0),v\right\rangle &= \frac{1}{\langle\psi'(u),u\rangle}\int_\Omega\Big\{[\phi'(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|+2\phi(|\nabla u|)]\nabla u\nabla v-\ell^*|u|^{\ell^*-2}uv\\ &- fv\Big\}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.27}$$

Next, we shall prove that any minimizing sequences on the Nehari manifold in $\mathcal{N}^+$ or $\mathcal{N}^+$ provides us a Palais-Smale sequence.

3.1 **Proposition 3.1.** *Suppose $(\phi_1)-(\phi_3)$ and (H). Then we have the following assertions*

1. *there exists a sequence $(u_n)\subset\mathcal{N}$ such that $J(u_n)=\alpha^++o_n(1)$ and $J'(u_n)=o_n(1)$ in $W^{-1,\widetilde{\Phi}}(\Omega)$.*
2. *there exists a sequence $(u_n)\subset\mathcal{N}^-$ such that $J(u_n)=\alpha^-+o_n(1)$ and $J'(u_n)=o_n(1)$ in $W^{-1,\widetilde{\Phi}}(\Omega)$.*

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose $(\phi_1)-(\phi_3)$ and (H) hold. Let (u_n) be a minimizing sequence for the functional J constrained to the Nehari mainfold $\mathcal{N}^+$. Then*

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty}||u_n||\geq-\alpha^+\left[\frac{\ell^*}{(\ell^*-1)||f||_{(\ell^*)'}S^{\frac{-1}{\ell}}}\right]>0.\tag{3.28}$$ 12b

and

$$||u_n||<\left[\left(\frac{\ell^*-1}{\ell^*-m}\right)||f||_{(\ell^*)'}S^{\frac{-1}{\ell}}\right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}},\tag{3.29}$$

where $\alpha \in \{\ell, m\}$. The same property can be proved for the Nehari manifold $\mathcal{N}^-$.

Proof: Remember that $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{N}$, $m\Phi(t) \leq \phi(t)t^2$ and arquing as in the proof of Lemma 2.7, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 > \alpha^+ + o_n(1) &> J(u_n) \\ &\geq \int_\Omega \left(1 - \frac{m}{\ell^*}\right) \Phi(|\nabla u_n|) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\ell^*}\right) fu \end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$ 12

holds for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough. By using the above inequality and the continuous embedding $W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\ell^*}(\Omega)$, we deduce that

$$||u_n|| > \left[- \left(\alpha^+ + \frac{1}{n}\right) \frac{\ell^*}{(\ell^* - 1)||f||_{(\ell^*)'} S^{\frac{-1}{\ell}}} \right],$$

and (3.28) holds.

Furthermore, using (3.30) and arguing as in (2.12), we obtain that

$$\min\{||u_n||^\ell, ||u_n||^m\} \leq \int_\Omega \Phi(|\nabla u_n|) < \left(\frac{\ell^* - 1}{\ell^* - m}\right) ||f||_{(\ell^*)'} S^{\frac{-1}{\ell}} ||u_n||. \tag{3.31}$$

Hence the last assertions give us

$$||u_n|| < \left[\left(\frac{\ell^*}{\ell^* - m}\right) \left(\frac{\ell^* - 1}{\ell^*}\right) ||f||_{(\ell^*)'} S^{\frac{-1}{\ell}} \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha - 1}} = \left[\left(\frac{\ell^* - 1}{\ell^* - m}\right) ||f||_{(\ell^*)'} S^{\frac{-1}{\ell}} \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha - 1}},$$

where $\alpha \in \{\ell, m\}$. □

Now we will prove two technical results, which will be used to prove that any minimizing sequence for J constrained to the Nehari manifold is a Palais-Smale sequence.

J'-lim-pont **Proposition 3.3.** *Suppose $(\phi_1) - (\phi_3)$ and (H) hold. Then any minimizing sequence (u_n) on the Nehari manifold $\mathcal{N}^-$ or $\mathcal{N}^+$ satisfies*

$$\left\langle J'(u_n), \frac{u}{||u||} \right\rangle \leq \frac{C}{n}[||\xi_n'(0)|| + 1]. \tag{3.32}$$ 17d

where $\xi_n : B_{\frac{1}{n}}(0) \to \mathbb{R}$ was obtained by Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2.

Proof: Taking ϵ_n given in Lemma 3.1, put $\rho \in (0, \epsilon_n)$ and $u \in W^{1,\Phi}(\Omega) \backslash \{0\}$. Define the auxiliary function

$$w_\rho = \frac{\rho u}{||u||} \in B(0, \epsilon_n).$$

Using Lemma 3.1 we infer that

$$\mu_\rho = \xi(w_\rho)(u_n - w_\rho) \in \mathcal{N}^+ \text{ and } J(\mu_\rho) - J(u_n) \geq -\frac{1}{n}||\mu_\rho - u_n||. \tag{3.33}$$ 177e

Notice also that we have the following convergences

$$w_\rho \to 0,\ \xi_n(w_\rho) \to 1,\ \mu_\rho \to u_n \text{ and } J'(\mu_\rho) \to J'(u_n) \tag{3.34}$$ 17c

as $\rho \to 0$, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Applying Mean Value Theorem, there exists $t \in (0, 1)$ in such way that

$$\begin{aligned} J(\mu_\rho) - J(u_n) &= \langle J'(\mu_\rho + t(u_n - \mu_\rho)) - J'(u_n), \mu_\rho - u_n \rangle \\ &+ \langle J'(u_n), \mu_\rho - u_n \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Remind that $||u_n - \mu_\rho|| \to 0$ as $\rho \to 0$. Since $\mu_\rho \in \mathcal{N}^+$ and using (3.33) and (3.34), we obtain

$$-\frac{1}{n}||\mu_\rho - u_n|| + o_\rho(||\mu_\rho - u_n||) \leq \langle J'(u_n), -w_\rho\rangle + (\xi_n(w_\rho) - 1)\langle J'(u_n), u_n - w_\rho\rangle .$$

where $o_\rho(.)$ denotes a quantity that goes to zero as ρ goes to zero. Using that $\langle J'(\mu_\rho), \mu_\rho\rangle = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{n}||\mu_\rho - u_n|| &\leq o_\rho(||\mu_\rho - u_n||) - \rho\left\langle J'(u_n), \frac{u}{||u||}\right\rangle \\ &+ (\xi_n(w_\rho) - 1)\langle J'(u_n) - J'(\mu_\rho), u_n - w_\rho\rangle . \end{aligned}$$

From the above estimates and (3.34) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle J'(u_n), \frac{u}{||u||}\right\rangle &\leq \frac{||\mu_\rho - u_n||}{n\rho} + \frac{o_\rho(||\mu_\rho - u_n||)}{\rho} \\ &+ \frac{(\xi_n(w_\rho) - 1)}{\rho}\langle J'(u_n) - J'(\mu_\rho), u_n - w_\rho\rangle . \end{aligned}$$

Noticing that

$$\lim_{\rho\to 0}\frac{|\xi_n(w_\rho) - 1|}{\rho} = \left\langle \xi_n'(0), \frac{u}{||u||}\right\rangle \leq ||\xi_n'(0)||,$$

from this inequality we have

$$||\mu_\rho - u_n|| \leq \rho|\xi_n(w_\rho)| + |\xi_n(w_\rho) - 1|\,||u_n|| \text{ and } \lim_{\rho\to 0}\frac{|\xi_n(w_\rho) - 1|}{\rho} \leq ||\xi_n'(0)||. \quad (3.35)$$ 17a

Therefore, using the fact that (u_n) is bounded and (3.35), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\rho\to 0}\frac{||\mu_\rho - u_n||}{\rho n} &\leq \lim_{\rho\to 0}\frac{1}{n}\left[||\xi_n(w_\rho)|| + \frac{|\xi_n(w_\rho) - 1|}{\rho}||u_n||\right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n}\left[1 + ||\xi_n'(0)||\;||u_n||\right] \leq \frac{C}{n}\left[1 + ||\xi_n'(0)||\right]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $\dfrac{\xi_n(w_\rho) - 1}{\rho}$ and $\xi_n(w_\rho)$ are bounded for $\rho > 0$ small enough, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mu_\rho - u_n\| &= |\rho|\left|\left|\frac{\xi_n(w_\rho) - 1}{\rho}u_n - \xi_n(w_\rho)\frac{u}{||u||}\right|\right| \\ &\leq |\rho|\left[\left|\frac{\xi_n(w_\rho) - 1}{\rho}\right|||u_n|| + |\xi_n(w_\rho)|\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since (u_n) is bounded there exists a constant $C > 0$ in such that

$$\frac{||\mu_\rho - u_n||}{\rho} \leq C[||\xi_n'(0)|| + 1].$$

Putting all these estimates together we prove (3.32) holds. □

xi_n-bound **Proposition 3.4.** *Under the hypotheses of Proposition 3.3 there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$||\xi_n'(0)|| \leq C,\ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof: Firstly notice that the numerator in (3.26) is bounded from below away from zero by $b||v||$ where $b > 0$ is a constant. Define the auxiliary function $\chi_n : W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_n(v) &= \int_\Omega [\phi'(|\nabla u_n|)|\nabla u_n| + 2\phi(|\nabla u_n|)]\nabla u_n \nabla v \\ &- \int_\Omega \ell^* |u_n|^{\ell^*-2} u_n v - fv.\end{aligned}$$

Using that $\dfrac{|\phi'(t)t|}{\phi(t)} \leq \max\{|\ell-2|, |m-2|\} := C_1$ and Holder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}|\chi_n(v)| &\leq C_1 \int_\Omega \phi(|\nabla u_n|)|\nabla u_n||\nabla v| + \ell^* \int_\Omega |u_n|^{\ell^*-1}|v| + \int_\Omega |f||v| \\ &\leq 2C_1 ||\phi(|\nabla u_n|)|\nabla u_n|||_{\tilde{\Phi}}||v|| + \ell^* \int_\Omega |u_n|^{\ell^*-1}|v| + \int_\Omega |f||v| \\ &\leq C_2 \max\left\{ \left(\int_\Omega \tilde{\Phi}(\phi(|\nabla u_n|))|\nabla u_n| \right)^{\frac{\ell-1}{\ell}}, \left(\int_\Omega \tilde{\Phi}(\phi(|\nabla u_n|))|\nabla u_n| \right)^{\frac{m-1}{m}} \right\} ||v|| \\ &+ \ell^* \int_\Omega |u_n|^{\ell^*-1}|v| + \int_\Omega |f||v|.\end{aligned}$$

In virtue of the inequality $\widetilde{\Phi}(t\phi(t)) \leq \Phi(2t) \leq 2^m \Phi(t), t \geq 0$ and (3.29) there exists a constant $C_3 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}|\chi_n(v)| &\leq C_3 \max\left\{ \left(\int_\Omega \Phi(|\nabla u_n|) \right)^{\frac{\ell-1}{\ell}}, \left(\int_\Omega \Phi(|\nabla u_n|) \right)^{\frac{m-1}{m}} \right\} ||v|| \\ &+ \ell^* \int_\Omega |u_n|^{\ell^*-1}|v| + \int_\Omega |f||v| \\ &\leq C_3 ||u_n||^\beta ||v|| + \ell^* \int_\Omega |u_n|^{\ell^*-1}|v| + \int_\Omega |f||v| \\ &\leq C_4 ||v|| + \ell^* \int_\Omega |u_n|^{\ell^*-1}|v| + \int_\Omega |f||v|.\end{aligned}$$

where $\beta \in \{\ell-1, \frac{\ell}{m}(\ell-1), m-1, \frac{m}{\ell}(m-1)\}$.

We shall estimate the terms $\displaystyle\int_\Omega |u_n|^{\ell^*-1}|v|$ and $\displaystyle\int_\Omega |f||v|$. Employing Holder's inequality and Sobolev imbedding we obtain

$$\int_\Omega |u_n|^{\ell^*-1}|v| \leq \left(\int_\Omega |u_n|^{\ell^*} \right)^{\frac{\ell^*-1}{\ell^*}} \left(\int_\Omega |v|^{\ell^*} \right)^{\frac{1}{\ell^*}} \leq C_5 \|u_n\|^{\ell^*-1} \|v\| \leq C_6 \|v\|.$$

and

$$\int_\Omega |f||v| \leq \left(\int_\Omega |f|^{(\ell^*)'} \right)^{\frac{1}{(\ell^*)'}} \left(\int_\Omega |v|^{\ell^*} \right)^{\frac{1}{\ell^*}} = ||f||_{(\ell^*)'} ||v||_{\ell^*} \leq S^{\frac{-1}{\ell}} ||f||_{(\ell^*)'} ||v||.$$

Combining the estimates above there exists a constant $c > 0$ in such that $|\chi_n(v)| \leq c||v||$.

Next, we will show that there exists a constant $d > 0$, independent in n, such that $\gamma''_{u_n}(1) \geq d$. Indeed, arguing by contradiction that $\gamma''_{u_n}(1) = o_n(1)$. It follows from (3.28) that there exists $a_\lambda > 0$ satisfying

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} ||u_n|| \geq a > 0 \tag{3.36}$$ nozero

Using (2.4) and (2.10), as well as, $\langle \phi'(u_n), u_n\rangle = o_n(1)$, we deduce that

$$o_n(1) = \langle \phi'(u_n), u_n\rangle = \int_\Omega \phi(|\nabla u_n|)|\nabla u_n|^2 + \phi'(|\nabla u_n|)||\nabla u|^3 + (1-\ell^*)|u_n|^{\ell^*}.$$

Under hypothesis (ϕ_3) and the Sobolev embeddings we infer that

$$(\ell-1)\int_\Omega \phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u_n|^2 \quad \leq \quad (\ell^*-1)S^{\frac{-\ell^*}{\ell}}||u_n||^{\ell^*} + o_n(1).$$

On the other hand, we observe that

$$(\ell-1)\int_\Omega \phi(|\nabla u_n|)|\nabla u_n|^2 dx \geq \ell(\ell-1)\int_\Omega \Phi(|\nabla u_n|) \geq \ell(\ell-1)\min\{||u_n||^\ell, ||u_n||^m\}.$$

Using the above estimates we get

$$\ell(\ell-1)\min\{||u_n||^\ell, ||u_n||^m\} \leq (\ell^*-1)S^{\frac{-\ell^*}{\ell}}||u_n||^{\ell^*} + o_n(1).$$

Hence, we have

$$\ell(\ell-1) \leq (\ell^*-1)S^{\frac{-\ell^*}{\ell}}||u_n||^{\ell^*-\alpha} + \frac{o_n(1)}{||u_n||^\alpha}$$

where $\alpha = \ell$ whenever $||u_n|| \geq 1$ and $\alpha = m$ whenever $||u_n|| \leq 1$. Furthermore, using (3.36), we obtain

$$||u_n|| \geq \left[\frac{\ell(\ell-1)}{(\ell^*-q)S^{\frac{-\ell^*}{\ell}}}\right]^{\frac{1}{\ell^*-\alpha}} + o_n(1). \tag{3.37}$$ des1aa

Using (2.10), (ϕ_3) and Holder inequality, we obtain

$$(\ell^*-m)\int_\Omega \phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u_n|^2 \quad \leq \quad (\ell^*-1)S^{\frac{-1}{\ell}}||f||_{(\ell^*)'}||u_n|| + o_n(1).$$

Combining the above inequalities, we get

$$\frac{\ell(\ell^*-m)}{(\ell^*-1)S^{\frac{-1}{\ell^*}}||f||_{(\ell^*)'}}||u_n||^\alpha = \frac{\ell(\ell^*-m)}{(\ell^*-1)S^{\frac{-1}{\ell}}||f||_{(\ell^*)'}}\min\{||u_n||^\ell, ||u_n||^m\} \leq ||u_n|| + o_n(1).$$

To sum up, using the estimate (3.36), we can be shown that

$$||u_n|| \quad \leq \quad \left[\frac{(\ell^*-1)S^{\frac{-1}{\ell}}\|f\|_{(\ell^*)'}}{\ell(\ell^*-m)}\right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}} + o_n(1).$$

Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 2.1, by the above inequality and (3.37) we have a contradiction since either (f_1) or (f_2) hold. This completes the proof. □

Proof of Proposition 3.1 We shall prove the item (1). The proof of item (2) follows similarly using Lemma 3.2 instead of Lemma 3.1. Applying Ekeland's variational principle there exists a sequence $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{N}^+$ in such way that

(i): $J(u_n) = \alpha^+ + o_n(1)$,
(ii): $J(u_n) < J(w) + \frac{1}{n}||w-u||, \ \forall\ w \in \mathcal{N}^+$.

In what follows we shall prove that $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||J'(u_n)|| \to 0$. From Proposition 3.4, there exist $C > 0$ independent on $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|\xi_n(0)\| \leq C$. This estimate together with Proposition 3.3

$$\left\langle J'(u_n), \frac{u}{||u||}\right\rangle \leq \frac{C}{n}, \ u \in W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)/\{0\}.$$

This implies that $\|J'(u_n)\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. This finishes the proof. □

4. The proof of our main theorems

4.1. **The proof of Theorem 1.1.** We are going to apply the following result, whose proof is made by using the concentration compactness principle due to Lions for Orlicz -Sobolev framework, see [28] or else in [9, 16].

conv_grad_qtp

Lemma 4.1. *(i)* $\phi(|\nabla u_n|)\nabla u_n \rightharpoonup \phi(|\nabla u|)\nabla u$ *in* $\prod L_{\widetilde{\Phi}}(\Omega)$*;*
(ii) $|u_n|^{\ell^*-2}u_n \rightharpoonup |u|^{\ell^*-2}u$ *in* $L^{\frac{\ell^*}{\ell^*-1}}(\Omega)$.

Let $\|f\|_{(\ell^*)'} < \Lambda_1 = \min\left\{\lambda_1, \dfrac{\ell^*-m}{m-1}\right\}$ where $\lambda_1 > 0$ is given by (f_1).
From Lemma 2.8 we infer that

$$\alpha^+ := \inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}^+} J(u) = \inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}} J(u) < 0.$$

We will find a function $u \in \mathcal{N}^+$ in such that

$$J(u) = \min_{u\in\mathcal{N}^+} J(u) =: \alpha^+ \text{ and } J'(u) \equiv 0.$$

First of all, using Proposition 3.1, there exists a minimizing sequence denoted by $(u_n) \subset W^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)$ such that

$$J(u_n) = \alpha^+ + o_n(1) \text{ and } J'(u_n) = o_n(1). \tag{4.38}$$

cerami1

Since the functional J is coercive in $\mathcal{N}^+$, this implies that (u_n) is bounded in $\mathcal{N}^+$. Therefore, there exists a function $u \in W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)$ such that

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega),\ u_n \to u \text{ a.e. in } \Omega,\ u_n \to u \text{ in } L^{\Phi}(\Omega). \tag{4.39}$$

convergencia

We shall prove that u is a weak solution for the problem elliptic problem (1.1). Notice that, by (4.38), we mention that

$$o_n(1) = \langle J'(u_n), v\rangle = \int_\Omega \phi(|\nabla u_n|)\nabla u_n \nabla v - fv - |u_n|^{\ell^*-2}u_n v$$

holds for any $v \in W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)$. In view of (4.39) and Lemma 4.1 we get

$$\int_\Omega \phi(|\nabla u|)\nabla u\nabla v - fv - |u|^{\ell^*-2}uv = 0$$

for any $v \in W^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)$ proving that u is a weak solution to the elliptic problem (1.1). In addition, the weak solution u is not zero. In fact, using the fact that $u_n \in \mathcal{N}^+$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_\Omega fu_n &= \int_\Omega (\Phi(|\nabla u_n|) - \frac{1}{\ell^*}\phi(|\nabla u_n|)|\nabla u_n|^2)\frac{\ell^*}{\ell^*-1} - J(u_n)\frac{\ell^*}{\ell^*-1} \\
&\geq \frac{\ell^*}{\ell^*-1}\left(1-\frac{m}{\ell^*}\right)\int_\Omega \Phi(|\nabla u_n|) - J(u_n)\frac{\ell^*}{\ell^*-1} \\
&\geq -J(u_n)\frac{\ell^*}{\ell^*-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

From (4.38) and (4.39) we obtain

$$\int_\Omega fu \geq -\alpha^+\frac{\ell^*}{\ell^*-1} > 0. \tag{4.40}$$

Hence $u \not\equiv 0$.

We shall prove that $J(u) = \alpha^+$ and $u_n \to u$ in $W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)$. Since $u \in \mathcal{N}$ we also see that

$$\alpha^+ \leq J(u) = \int_\Omega \Phi(|\nabla u|) - \frac{1}{\ell^*}\phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\ell^*}\right) fu.$$

Notice that

$$t \mapsto \Phi(t) - \frac{1}{\ell^*}\phi(t)t^2$$

is a convex function. In fact, by hypothesis (ϕ_3) and $m < \ell^*$, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\Phi(t) - \frac{1}{\ell^*}\phi(t)t^2\right)'' &= \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{\ell^*}\right) t\phi(t) - \frac{1}{\ell^*}t(t\phi(t))'\right]' \\ &= (t\phi(t))' \left[\left(1 - \frac{2}{\ell^*}\right) - \frac{1}{\ell^*}\frac{t(t\phi(t))''}{(t\phi(t))'}\right] \\ &\geq (t\phi(t))' \left(1 - \frac{m}{\ell^*}\right) > 0, t > 0.\end{aligned}$$

In addition, the last assertion says that

$$u \longmapsto \int_\Omega \Phi(|\nabla u|) - \frac{1}{\ell^*}\phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2 dx$$

is weakly lower semicontinuous function. Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha^+ \leq J(u) &\leq \liminf \left(\int_\Omega \Phi(|\nabla u_n|) - \frac{1}{\ell^*}\phi(|\nabla u_n|)|\nabla u_n|^2 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\ell^*}\right) fu_n\right) \\ &= \liminf J(u_n) = \alpha^+.\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $J(u) = \alpha^+$. Additionally, using (4.39), we also have

$$\begin{aligned}J(u) &= \int_\Omega \Phi(|\nabla u|) - \frac{1}{\ell^*}\phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\ell^*}\right) fu \\ &= \lim \left(\int_\Omega \Phi(|\nabla u_n|) - \frac{1}{\ell^*}\phi(|\nabla u_n|)|\nabla u_n|^2\right) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\ell^*}\right) \int_\Omega fu.\end{aligned}$$

From the last identity

$$\lim \left(\int_\Omega \Phi(|\nabla u_n|) - \frac{1}{\ell^*}\phi(|\nabla u_n|)|\nabla u_n|^2\right) = \int_\Omega \Phi(|\nabla u|) - \frac{1}{\ell^*}\phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2.$$

In view of Brezis-Lieb Lemma, choosing $v_n = u_n - u$, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}\lim \left(\int_\Omega \Phi(|\nabla u_n|) - \frac{1}{\ell^*}\phi(|\nabla u_n|)|\nabla u_n|^2 + \Phi(|\nabla v_n|) - \frac{1}{\ell^*}\phi(|\nabla v_n|)|\nabla v_n|^2\right) \\ = \int_\Omega \Phi(|\nabla u|) - \frac{1}{\ell^*}\phi(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2. \quad (4.41)\end{aligned}$$

The previous assertion implies that

$$0 = \lim \left(\int_\Omega \Phi(|\nabla v_n|) - \frac{1}{\ell^*}\phi(|\nabla v_n|)|\nabla v_n|^2\right) \geq \lim \left(1 - \frac{m}{\ell^*}\right) \int_\Omega \Phi(|\nabla v_n|) \geq 0.$$

Therefore, we obtain that $\lim \int_\Omega \Phi(|\nabla v_n|) = 0$ and $u_n \to u$ in $W^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)$. Hence we conclude that $u_n \to u$ in $W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)$.

We shall prove that $u \in \mathcal{N}^+$. Arguing by contradiction we have that $u \notin \mathcal{N}^+$. Using Lemma 2.6 there are unique $t_0^+, t_0^- > 0$ in such way that $t_0^+ u \in \mathcal{N}^+$ and $t_0^- u \in \mathcal{N}^-$. In particular, we know that $t_0^+ < t_0^- = 1$. Since

$$\frac{d}{dt} J(t_0^+ u) = 0$$

and using (4.40) together the Lemma 2.6 we have that

$$\frac{d}{dt} J(tu) > 0, \ t \in (t_0^+, t_0^-).$$

So, there exist $t^- \in (t_0^+, t_0^-)$ such that $J(t_0^+ u) < J(t^- u)$.
In addition $J(t_0^+ u) < J(t^- u) \leq J(t_0^- u) = J(u)$ which is a contradiction to the fact that u is a minimizer in $\mathcal{N}^+$. So that u is in $\mathcal{N}^+$.
To conclude the proof of theorem it remains to show that $u \geq 0$ when $f \geq 0$. For this we will argue as in [26]. Since $u \in \mathcal{N}^+$, by Lemma 2.6 there exists a $t_0 \geq 1$ such that $t_0|u| \in \mathcal{N}^+$ and $t_0|u| \geq |u|$. Therefore if $f \geq 0$, we get

$$J(u) = \inf_{w \in \mathcal{N}^+} J(w) \leq J(t_0|u|) \leq J(|u|) \leq J(u).$$

So we can assume without loss of generality that $u \geq 0$.

4.2. **The proof of Theorem 1.2.** Let $||f||_{(\ell^*)'} < \Lambda_2 = \min\left\{\lambda_2, \dfrac{\ell^* - m}{m-1}\right\}$ where $\lambda_2 > 0$ is given by Lemma 2.7.

First of all, from Lemma 2.7, there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ such that $J(v) \geq \delta_1$ for any $v \in \mathcal{N}^-$. So that,

$$\alpha^- := \inf_{v \in \mathcal{N}^-} J(v) \geq \delta_1 > 0.$$

Now we shall consider a minimizing sequence $(v_n) \subset \mathcal{N}^-$ given in Proposition 3.1, i.e, $(v_n) \subset \mathcal{N}^-$ is a sequence satisfying

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} J(v_n) = \alpha^- \text{ and } \lim_{n\to\infty} J'(v_n) = 0. \tag{4.42}$$ e1

Since J is coercive in $\mathcal{N}$ and so on $\mathcal{N}^-$, using Lemma 2.1, we have that (v_n) is bounded sequence in $W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)$. Up to a subsequence we assume that $v_n \rightharpoonup v$ in $W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)$ holds for some $v \in W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)$. Additionally, using the fact that $\ell^* > 1$, we get $t << \Phi_*(t)$ and $W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^1(\Omega)$ is also a compact embedding. This fact implies that $v_n \to v$ in $L^1(\Omega)$. In this way, we can obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega f v_n = \int_\Omega f v.$$

Now we claim that $v \in W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)$ given just above is a weak solution to the elliptic problem (1.1). In fact, using (4.42), we infer that

$$\langle J'(v_n), w\rangle = \int_\Omega \phi(|\nabla v_n|)\nabla v_n \nabla w - fw - |v_n|^{\ell^*-2} v_n w = o_n(1)$$

holds for any $w \in W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)$. Now using Lemma 4.1 we get

$$\int_\Omega \phi(|\nabla v|)\nabla v \nabla w - fw - |v|^{\ell^*-2} vw = 0, w \in W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega).$$

So that v is a critical point for the functional J. Without any loss of generality, changing the sequence (v_n) by $(|v_n|)$, we can assume that $v \geq 0$ in Ω.

Next we claim that $v \neq 0$. The proof for this claim follows arguing by contradiction assuming that $v \equiv 0$. Recall that $J(tv_n) \leq J(v_n)$ for any $t \geq 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. These facts together with Lemma 5.1 imply that

$$\begin{aligned}\left(1-\frac{m}{\ell^*}\right)\int_\Omega \Phi(|\nabla t v_n|) &\leq (t-1)\left(1-\frac{1}{\ell^*}\right)\int_\Omega f v_n \\ &+ \left(1-\frac{\ell}{\ell^*}\right)\int_\Omega \Phi(|\nabla v_n|).\end{aligned}$$

Using the above estimate, Lemma 5.1 and the fact that (v_n) is bounded, we obtain

$$\min(t^\ell, t^m)\left(1-\frac{m}{\ell^*}\right)\int_\Omega \Phi(|\nabla v_n|) \leq (t-1)\left(1-\frac{1}{\ell^*}\right)\int_\Omega f v_n + C$$

holds for some $C > 0$. These inequalities give us

$$\min(t^\ell, t^m)\left(1-\frac{m}{\ell^*}\right)\int_\Omega \Phi(|\nabla v_n|) \leq (t-1)\left(1-\frac{1}{\ell^*}\right) S^{\frac{-1}{\ell}} ||f||_{(\ell^*)'} ||v_n|| + C.$$

It is no hard to verify that $\|v_n\| \geq c > 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Using Proposition 5.1 we get

$$\min(t^\ell, t^m) \leq o_n(1)t + C$$

holds for any $t \geq 0$ where $C = C(\ell, m, \ell^*, \Omega, a, b) > 0$ where $o_n(1)$ denotes a quantity that goes to zero as $n \to \infty$. Here was used the fact $v_n \to 0$ in $L^1(\Omega)$. This estimate does not make sense for any $t > 0$ big enough. Hence $v \neq 0$ as claimed. Hence v is in $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}^+ \cup \mathcal{N}^+$.

Next, we shall prove that $v_n \to v$ in $W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)$. The proof follows arguing by contradiction. Assume that $\liminf_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega \Phi(|\nabla v_n - \nabla v|) \geq \delta$ holds for some $\delta > 0$. Recall that $\Psi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$t \mapsto \Psi(t) := \Phi(t) - \frac{1}{\ell^*}\phi(t)t^2$$

is a convex function for each $t \geq 0$. The Brezis-Lieb Lemma for convex functions says that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega \Psi(|\nabla v_n|) - \Psi(|\nabla v_n - v|) = \int_\Omega \Psi(|\nabla v|)$$

In particular, the last estimate give us

$$\int_\Omega \Psi(|\nabla v|) < \liminf_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega \Psi(|\nabla v_n|).$$

Since $v \in \mathcal{N}$ there exists unique t_0 in $(0, \infty)$ such that $t_0 v \in \mathcal{N}^-$. It is easy to verify that

$$\int_\Omega \Psi(|\nabla t_0 v|) < \liminf_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega \Psi(|\nabla t_0 v_n|).$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha^- &\leq J(t_0 v) = \int_\Omega \Psi(|\nabla t_0 v|) - \left(1-\frac{1}{\ell^*}\right) t_0 f \\ &< \liminf_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega \Psi(|\nabla t_0 v_n|) - \left(1-\frac{1}{\ell^*}\right) t_0 f v_n \\ &= \liminf_{n\to\infty} J(t_0 v_n) \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} J(v_n) = \alpha^-.\end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction proving that $v_n \to v$ in $W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)$. Therefore v is in $\mathcal{N}^-$. This follows from the strong convergence and the fact that $t = 1$ is the unique maximum point for the fibering map γ_v for any $v \in \mathcal{N}^-$. Hence using the same ideas discussed in the proof of Theorem 1.1 we infer that

$$\alpha^- \leq J(v) \leq \liminf J(v_n) = \alpha^-.$$

In particular, $\alpha^- = J(v)$ and

$$\lim \int_\Omega \Phi(|\nabla v_n|) - \frac{1}{\ell^*}\phi(|\nabla v_n|)|\nabla v_n|^2 = \int_\Omega \Phi(|\nabla v|) - \frac{1}{\ell^*}\phi(|\nabla v|)|\nabla v|^2.$$

Hence, $J(v) \geq \delta_1 > 0$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

□

4.3. **The proof of Theorem 1.3.** In view of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 there are $u \in \mathcal{N}^+$ and $v \in \mathcal{N}^-$ in such way that

$$J(u) = \inf_{w \in \mathcal{N}^+} J(w) \quad \text{and} \quad J(v) = \inf_{w \in \mathcal{N}^-} J(w).$$

Using that $0 < ||f||_{(\ell^*)'} < \Lambda := \min\{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2\}$ where $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 > 0$ are given by Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 we stress that $\mathcal{N}^+ \cap \mathcal{N}^- = \emptyset$.

Therefore, u, v are nonnegative solutions to the elliptic problem (1.1), (u being a ground state solution), whenever $0 < ||f||_{(\ell^*)} < \Lambda$. This completes the proof. □

5. Appendix

The reader is referred to [1, 23] regarding Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. The usual norm on $L_\Phi(\Omega)$ is (Luxemburg norm),

$$\|u\|_\Phi = \inf\left\{\lambda > 0 \mid \int_\Omega \Phi\left(\frac{u(x)}{\lambda}\right) dx \leq 1\right\}$$

and the Orlicz-Sobolev norm of $W^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)$ is

$$\|u\|_{1,\Phi} = \|u\|_\Phi + \sum_{i=1}^N \left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}\right\|_\Phi.$$

Recall that

$$\widetilde{\Phi}(t) = \max_{s \geq 0}\{ts - \Phi(s)\},\ t \geq 0.$$

It turns out that Φ and $\widetilde{\Phi}$ are N-functions satisfying the Δ_2-condition, (cf. [23, p 22]). In addition, $L_\Phi(\Omega)$ and $W^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)$ are separable, reflexive, Banach spaces.

Using the Poincaré inequality for the Φ-Laplacian operator it follows that

$$\|u\|_\Phi \leq C\|\nabla u\|_\Phi \text{ for any } u \in W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)$$

holds true for some $C > 0$, see Gossez [18, 19]. As a consequence, $\|u\| := \|\nabla u\|_\Phi$ defines a norm in $W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega)$, equivalent to $\|.\|_{1,\Phi}$. Let Φ_* be the inverse of the function

$$t \in (0, \infty) \mapsto \int_0^t \frac{\Phi^{-1}(s)}{s^{\frac{N+1}{N}}} ds$$

which extends to $\mathbb{R}$ by $\Phi_*(t) = \Phi_*(-t)$ for $t \leq 0$. We say that a N-function Ψ grow essentially more slowly than Φ_*, we write $\Psi << \Phi_*$, if

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\Psi(\lambda t)}{\Phi_*(t)} = 0, \text{ for all } \lambda > 0.$$

The compact embedding below (cf. [1, 13]) will be used in this paper:

$$W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega) \overset{cpt}{\hookrightarrow} L_\Psi(\Omega), \text{ if } \Psi << \Phi_*,$$

in particular, as $\Phi << \Phi_*$ (cf. [18, Lemma 4.14]),

$$W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega) \overset{cpt}{\hookrightarrow} L_\Phi(\Omega),$$

Furthermore, the following continuous embeddings hold (see [1, 13, 18])

$$W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega) \overset{\text{cont}}{\hookrightarrow} L_{\Phi_*}(\Omega),$$

$$L_\Phi(\Omega) \overset{\text{cont}}{\hookrightarrow} L^\ell(\Omega) \text{ and } L_{\Phi_*}(\Omega) \overset{\text{cont}}{\hookrightarrow} L^{\ell^*}(\Omega).$$

rmk-psi **Remark 5.1.** *The function $\psi(t) = t^{r-1}, r \in [1, \ell^*)$ satisfies $\Psi << \Phi_*$ where $\Psi(t) = \int_0^t \psi(s)ds, t \in \mathbb{R}$. In other words, the function Ψ grow essentially more slowly than Φ_*. In fact, we easily see that*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\Psi(\lambda t)}{\Phi_*(t)} \leq \frac{\lambda^r}{r\Phi_*(1)} \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t^{\ell^*-r}} = 0, \text{ for all } \lambda > 0.$$

In that case $W_0^{1,\Phi}(\Omega) \overset{cpt}{\hookrightarrow} L_\Psi(\Omega)$.

Now we refer the reader to [16, 24] for some elementary results on Orlicz and Orlicz-Sobolev spaces.

lema_naru **Proposition 5.1.** *Assume that ϕ satisfies $(\phi_1) - (\phi_3)$. Set*

$$\zeta_0(t) = \min\{t^\ell, t^m\}, \ \zeta_1(t) = \max\{t^\ell, t^m\}, \ t \geq 0.$$

Then Φ satisfies

$$\zeta_0(t)\Phi(\rho) \leq \Phi(\rho t) \leq \zeta_1(t)\Phi(\rho), \ \rho, t > 0,$$

$$\zeta_0(\|u\|_\Phi) \leq \int_\Omega \Phi(u)dx \leq \zeta_1(\|u\|_\Phi), \ u \in L_\Phi(\Omega).$$

fang **Proposition 5.2.** *Assume that $(\phi_1) - (\phi_3)$ holds. Define the function*

$$\eta_0(t) = \min\{t^{\ell-2}, t^{m-2}\}, \ \eta_1(t) = \max\{t^{\ell-2}, t^{m-2}\}, \ t \geq 0.$$

Then the function ϕ verifies

$$\eta_0(t)\phi(\rho) \leq \phi(\rho t) \leq \eta_1(t)\phi(\rho), \ \rho, t > 0,$$

lema_naru_* **Proposition 5.3.** *Assume that ϕ satisfies $(\phi_1) - (\phi_3)$. Set*

$$\zeta_2(t) = \min\{t^{\ell^*}, t^{m^*}\}, \ \zeta_3(t) = \max\{t^{\ell^*}, t^{m^*}\}, \ t \geq 0$$

where $1 < \ell, m < N$ and $m^* = \frac{mN}{N-m}$, $\ell^* = \frac{\ell N}{N-\ell}$. Then

$$\ell^* \leq \frac{t^2\Phi_*'(t)}{\Phi_*(t)} \leq m^*, \ t > 0,$$

$$\zeta_2(t)\Phi_*(\rho) \leq \Phi_*(\rho t) \leq \zeta_3(t)\Phi_*(\rho), \ \rho, t > 0,$$

$$\zeta_2(\|u\|_{\Phi_*}) \leq \int_\Omega \Phi_*(u)dx \leq \zeta_3(\|u\|_{\Phi_*}), \ u \in L_{\Phi_*}(\Omega).$$

References

[1] Adams, R.A., Fournier, J.F.: Sobolev Spaces, Academic Press, New York, (2003)

[2] Bouchekif, M., Rimouche, A.: Nonhomogeneous elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponent and weight. EJDE **8**, 1–12 (2016)

[3] Brézis, H. , Nirenberg, L.: A minimization problem with critical exponent and nonzero data. In Symmetry in nature, Quaderni di Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, pp. 129-140, (1989)

[4] Brown, K.J., Zhang, Y.: The Nehari manifold for semilinear elliptic equation with a sign-changing weight function. J. Differential Equation **193**, 481–499 (2003)

[5] Brown, K.B., Wu, T.-F.: A fibering map approach to a semilinear elliptic boundary value problem. EJDE **69**, 1–9 (2007)

[6] Brown, K.B., Wu, T.-F.: A fibering map approach to a potential operator equation and its applications, Differential Integral Equations, in press.

[7] Cao,D.M., Li, G.B., Zhou,H.S.: Multiple solutions for nonhomogeneous elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponent Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **124**, 11771191(1994)

[8] Carvalho, M.L., da Silva, E.C., Goulart, C.: Quasilinear elliptic problems with concave-convex nonlinearities. Communications in Contemporary Mathematics, 1650050 (2016), DOI: 10.1142/S0219199716500504

[9] Carvalho, M.L., da Silva, E.D., Goncalves, J.V., Goulart, C.: Concave-convex effects for critical quasilinear elliptic problems. (preprint)

[10] Carvalho, M.L.,Correa, F. J. S., Goncalves, J.V., da Silva, E.D.: Sign changing solutions for quasilinear superlinear elliptic problems. Quarterly Journal of Mathematics 2017 (to appear).

[11] Chabrowski, J. :On multiple solutions for the nonhomogeneous p-Laplacian with a critical Sobolev exponent **8**, 705-716 (1995)

[12] Clapp, M., Kavian, O., Ruf, B.: Multiple solutions of nonhomogeneous elliptic equations with critical nonlinearity on symmetric domains. Communications in Contemporary Mathematics **5(2)** , 147–169 (2003)

[13] Donaldson, T.K., Trudinger, N. S.: Orlicz-Sobolev spaces and imbedding theorems. J. Functional Analysis **8**, 52–75 (1971)

[14] Drabek, P., Pohozaev, S.I.: Positive solutions for the p-Laplacian: application of the fibering method. Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh Sect A **127**, 703–726 (1997)

[15] Figueiredo, G. M.; Ramos Quoirin, H.: Ground states of elliptic problems involving non-homogeneous operators. Indiana Univ. Math. J.**65(3)**, 779–795 (2016)

[16] Fukagai, N., Ito, M., Narukawa, K.: Positive solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations with critical Orlicz-Sobolev nonlinearity on $\mathbb{R}^N$. Funkcialaj Ekvacioj **49**, 235–267 (2006)

[17] Fukagai, N., Narukawa, K.: On the existence of multiple positive solutions of quasilinear elliptic eigenvalue problems. Annali di Matematica **186**, 539–564 (2007)

[18] Gossez, J.P.: Nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems for equations with raplidy (or slowly) incressing coefficients. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **190**, 163–205 (1974)

[19] Gossez, J.P.: Orlicz-Sobolev spaces and nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems. Nonlinear analysis, function spaces and applications, (Proc. Spring School, Horni Bradlo, 1978), Teubner, Leipzig, 59–94 (1979)

[20] Hirano, N., Shioji, N.:A multiplicity result including a sign-changing solution for an inhomogeneous Neumann problem with critical exponent. Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **137**, 333–347 (2007)

[21] Motreanu, D., Tanaka, M.: Multiple existence results of solutions for quasilinear elliptic equations with a nonlinearity depending on a parameter. Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata **193(5)**, 1255–1282 (2014)

[22] Mugnai, D., Papageorgiou, N.S.: Wang's multiplicity result for superlinear (p, q)-equations without the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **366**, 4919–4937 (2014)

[23] Rao, M.N., Ren, Z.D.: Theory of Orlicz Spaces. Marcel Dekker, New York, (1985) problems. J. Functional Analysis **257**, 3802–3822 (2009)

[24] Tan, Z., Fang, F.: Orlicz-Sobolev versus Hölder local minimizer and multiplicity results for quasilinear elliptic equations. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **402**, 348–370 (2013)

[25] Tanaka, M.: Generalized eigenvalue problems for (p,q)-Laplacian with indefinite weight. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **419**, 1181–1192 (2014)

[26] Tarantello, G.: On nonhomogenous elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev expoent. vol. 9. Ann. I. H. Poincaré, Analyse Non Linéaire **3**, 281–304 (1992)
[27] Tarantello, G.: Multiplicity results for an inhomogeneous Neumann problem with critical exponent. Manuscripta Math. **81**, 57 – 78 (1993)
[28] Willem, M.: Minimax Theorems. Birkhäuser Boston, Basel, Berlin, (1996)
[29] Wu, T.-F.: On semilinear elliptic equations involving concave -convex nonlinearities and sign-changing weight function. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **318**, 253-270 (2006)
[30] Wu, T.-F.: Multiple positive solutions for a class of concave-convex elliptic problems in $\mathbb{R}^N$ involving sign-changing weight. J. Functional Analysis **258**, 99-131 (2010)

M. L. M. Carvalho
Universidade Federal de Goiás, IME, Goiânia-GO, Brazil
E-mail address: marcos_leandro_carvalho@ufg.br

J. V. Goncalves.
Universidade Federal de Goiás, IME, Goiânia-GO, Brazil
E-mail address: goncalves.jva@gmail.com

C. Goulart
Universidade Federal de Goiás, Regionsl Jataí, Jataí, Brazil
E-mail address: claudiney@ufg.br

O. H. Miyagaki
Universidade Federal de Juz de Fora, Juiz de Fora, Brazil
E-mail address: ohmiyagaki@gmail.com